

MAD/PH/749
DESY 93-064
UdeM-LPN-TH-93-143
NUHEP-TH-93-12
June 1993

HIGGS BOSONS: INTERMEDIATE MASS RANGE AT e^+e^- COLLIDERS

V. BARGER¹, K. CHEUNG², A. DJOUADI³, B. A. KNiehl⁴ AND P. M. ZERWAS⁵

¹ Physics Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

² Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

³ Lab. Phys. Nucl., Université de Montréal, Case 6128A, H3C 3J7 Montréal PQ, Canada

⁴ II. Inst. f. Theor. Physik, Universität Hamburg, D-2000 Hamburg 50, FRG

⁵ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, DESY, D-2000 Hamburg 52, FRG

ABSTRACT

We elaborate on the production of the Standard Model Higgs particle at high-energy e^+e^- colliders through the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$. Particular emphasis is put on the intermediate mass range. In addition to the signal we discuss in detail the background processes. Angular distributions which are sensitive to the spin and parity of the Higgs particle are analyzed.

1. The Physical Basis

The fundamental particles in the Standard Model ($\mathcal{SM}$), gauge bosons, leptons and quarks, acquire their masses by means of the Higgs mechanism [1]. The masses are generated through the interaction with a non-zero scalar field in the ground state, inducing the spontaneous breaking of the electroweak $SU(2)_I \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry down to the electromagnetic $U(1)_{EM}$ symmetry. To accommodate the well established electromagnetic and weak phenomena, this mechanism requires the existence of at least one weak iso-doublet scalar field. The three Goldstone bosons among the four degrees of freedom are absorbed to build up the longitudinal polarization states of the massive $W^\pm, Z$ bosons. One degree of freedom is left-over, corresponding to a real physical particle. The discovery of this Higgs particle is the *experimentum crucis* for the standard formulation of the electroweak interactions.

The only unknown parameter in the $\mathcal{SM}$ Higgs sector is the mass of the Higgs particle. Even though the value of the Higgs mass cannot be predicted, interesting constraints can nevertheless be derived from bounds Λ on the energy range in which the model is taken to be valid before perturbation theory breaks down and new dynamical phenomena could emerge. If the Higgs mass is less than about 180 to 200 GeV, the Standard Model with weakly interacting particles can be extended [2] up the GUT scale $\Lambda_{GUT} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV. This attractive idea is very likely to play a key rôle in the renormalization of the electroweak mixing angle $\sin^2 \theta_W$ from the GUT symmetry value $3/8$ down to the experimental value ~ 0.2 at low energies. A lower bound on the Higgs mass follows in the $\mathcal{SM}$ from the requirement of vacuum stability for large top quark masses. If, for a given Higgs mass, the top quark mass increases, radiative corrections drive the scalar potential to negative values [3] so that, in turn, for a top mass of ~ 150 GeV, the Higgs mass must exceed ~ 100 GeV. We therefore focus in this study on the important Higgs mass range $M_Z \leq M_H \leq 2M_Z$, generically referred to as the intermediate Higgs mass range.

Once the Higgs mass is fixed, the triple and quartic self-couplings of the $\mathcal{SM}$ Higgs particle are uniquely determined. The scale of the Higgs couplings to massive gauge bosons and quarks/leptons is set by the masses of these particles. As a result, the profile of the Higgs boson can be predicted completely for a given value of the Higgs mass: the decay properties are fixed and the production mechanisms and rates can be determined.

The width of the $\mathcal{SM}$ Higgs particle increases from small values of a few MeV in the 100 GeV mass range quickly to ~ 1 GeV at a mass of $M_H \sim 200$ GeV. Throughout the intermediate mass range, the width remains so small that it cannot be resolved experimentally. The dominant decay mode for masses below ~ 140 GeV is the $b\bar{b}$ decay channel [see Fig. 1]. For higher mass values, the WW and ZZ decays become dominant, one of the vector bosons being virtual below the threshold for two real bosons. Other decay modes [$\tau^+\tau^-$, $c\bar{c}$ and gg] occur at a level of several percent in the lower part of the intermediate mass range.

The most comprehensive search for Higgs particles has been carried out in Z decays at LEP. A lower bound on the Higgs mass of about $M_H \geq 62.5$ GeV could be established so far [4]; this limit can be raised to ~ 65 GeV by accumulating more statistics. In the second phase of LEP with a total energy of about 180 GeV, Higgs particles can be searched for up to masses of about 80 GeV.

Beyond the LEP II range, new accelerators are needed to search for this particle and to explore its properties. The multi-TeV pp colliders LHC/SSC can sweep the entire Higgs mass range up to the $\mathcal{SM}$ limit of about 800 GeV [5]. In the upper part of the intermediate range, the lepton channels $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4l^\pm$ will be used, while in the lower part the rare photon decay $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ is the sole decay channel, established so far, which can be exploited to search for this particle. Due to the

overwhelming QCD background, the main decay mode $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ for $M_H \leq 140$ GeV, nor any mode other than the $\gamma\gamma$ channel, are accessible in hadron colliders. Future e^+e^- colliders, on the other hand, are the ideal machines to investigate the Higgs sector in the intermediate mass range since all major decay modes can be explored, with the Higgs particle produced through several mechanisms [6].

At e^+e^- linear colliders operating in the 300 to 500 GeV energy range, the main production processes are the WW/ZZ fusion [7] and the bremsstrahl [8] mechanisms. The cross sections for the fusion mechanisms rise logarithmically with the energy, making these channels dominant at energies ≥ 500 GeV for intermediate mass Higgs bosons. These production channels have been investigated thoroughly in Ref. [9, 10, 11]. In the present paper, we analyze in detail the bremsstrahl process

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow Z + H \quad (1)$$

throughout the intermediate mass range [see Fig. 2]. The bremsstrahl process is dominant for moderate values of the ratio $M_H/\sqrt{s}$, but falls off at high energies according to the scaling law $\sim s^{-1}$. Since this process is fully constrained, missing mass techniques can be applied which allow to search for the Higgs particle without any restrictions on the decay modes. [Higgs events in the ZZ fusion processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-(ZZ) \rightarrow e^+e^-H$ can also be reconstructed completely, yet the production rate is smaller by an order of magnitude.]

Once the Higgs boson is found, it will be very important to explore its properties. This is possible by exploiting the bremsstrahl process (1). The zero-spin is reflected in the angular distribution [12]. This distribution must approach the $\sin^2\theta$ law asymptotically since, according to the equivalence theorem [13], massive gauge bosons act at high energies like the Goldstone bosons which are absorbed to build up the longitudinal degrees of freedom. The parity can also be studied by analyzing angular correlations. Of tantamount importance is the measurement of the Higgs couplings to gauge bosons and matter particles. The strength of the couplings to Z and W bosons is reflected in the magnitude of the production cross section. The relative strength of the couplings to fermions is accessible through the decay branching ratios. The absolute magnitude is difficult to measure directly. The direct measurement is possible in the small mass window where the Higgs decays to $b\bar{b}$ and WW^* compete with each other; else Higgs bremsstrahlung off top quarks [14, 15] provides an opportunity to measure the ttH coupling in a limited range of the intermediate mass range. All these couplings grow with the masses of the source particles, a direct consequence of the very nature of the mass generation through the Higgs mechanism. The measurement of these couplings is therefore an important experimental task in the electroweak sector.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we shall discuss the production cross section for the bremsstrahl process including radiative corrections [16]. Depending on the decay modes, various background processes, leading to $Zb\bar{b}$ or ZWW^* , ZZZ^* final states, will be analyzed. We shall also take into account the smearing of the initial state energy due to conventional photon radiation and beamstrahlung [17]. In the third section, we analyze in detail angular distributions and correlations which allow us to verify the $\mathcal{J}^{PC}$ quantum numbers of the Higgs particle. Finally we summarize the methods which will allow us to measure the couplings of the Higgs particles to gauge bosons and fermions. No method is known at this time that could be exploited in practice to measure the self-couplings of the $\mathcal{SM}$ Higgs field directly [18].

2. Bremsstrahl Process of Higgs Bosons

The cross section for the Higgs bremsstrahl process (1) can be written in a compact form [9],

$$\sigma(ZH) = \frac{G_F^2 M_Z^4}{96\pi s} (a_e^2 + v_e^2) \beta \frac{\beta^2 + 12M_Z^2/s}{(1 - M_Z^2/s)^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $a_e = -1, v_e = -1 + 4\sin^2\theta_W$ are the Z charges of the electron, and $\beta^2 = [1 - (M_H + M_Z)^2/s][1 - (M_H - M_Z)^2/s]$ is the usual two-particle phase space factor, proportional to the momentum squared of the particles in the final state. The cross section is shown in Fig. 3a for three energy values 300, 400 and 500 GeV as a function of the Higgs mass, and in Fig. 3b for three representative values of the Higgs mass as a function of the total energy $\sqrt{s}$. With $\sigma \sim 200$ fb, a rate of $\sim 4,000$ Higgs particles in the intermediate mass range is produced at an energy $\sqrt{s} = 300$ GeV and for an integrated luminosity of $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 20 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ within one year of running. Asymptotically, the cross section scales as s^{-1} .

The radiative corrections *sui generis* [without beamstrahlung] are well under control [16]. The bulk of these corrections is due to photon radiation from the incoming electrons and positrons. The size of the radiative corrections to the total cross section is shown in Fig. 3a/b. The solid curves include the one-loop weak corrections and the initial-state radiation up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ with the infrared sensitive parts exponentiated. For small M_H they are large and positive since photon radiation pulls the e^+e^- system to smaller energies where the cross sections increases. Photon radiation reduces the cross section, on the other hand, when M_H approaches the threshold value. The genuine weak corrections have been shown to be small, reducing the cross section by a few percent [apart from resonating destructive interference spikes at $M_H = 2M_W$ and $2M_Z$].

For narrow-band beam designs like DLC and TESLA [19], beamstrahlung [17] affects the cross section much less than the standard initial-state photon radiation. The change of the cross section, relative to the Born cross section, is exemplified for these design studies in Fig. 4.

The cleanest channel for isolating the signal from the background is provided by the $\mu^+\mu^-/e^+e^-$ decay mode of the Z boson. Depending on the mass of the Higgs boson, either $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays dominate for $M_H \leq 140$ GeV, or $H \rightarrow WW^*$ decays for $M_H \geq 140$ GeV. We shall assume a perfect μ -vertex detector with 100% B detection efficiency, which is not far from experimental reality [20]. $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ can be tagged by allowing the invariant $\mu^+\mu^-$ mass to vary within $|M_{\mu^+\mu^-} - M_Z| \leq 6$ GeV. For the cases $H \rightarrow VV^*$ ($V = W, Z$), we tag the hadronic decays of the on-shell vector boson by requiring that $|M_{jj} - M_V| < M_V/10$. While the signal events prefer the central region of the detector, as discussed later in detail, the background reactions $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z + X$ are in general strongly peaked in the forward direction due to the t -channel exchange of electrons [12]. A cut $|\cos\theta_Z| < 0.6$ therefore improves the signal-to-noise ratio significantly. For the study of $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ the dominant backgrounds come from $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZ^*, Z\gamma^*, \gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow (\mu^+\mu^-)(b\bar{b})$, as well as $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow (b\mu^+\nu)(\bar{b}\mu^-\bar{\nu})$. The other backgrounds like $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zb\bar{b}$ are suppressed by one more factor of α_W . On the other hand, for $H \rightarrow WW^*$ the dominant background comes from the continuum production of $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZWW^* \rightarrow (\mu^+\mu^-) + X$. The backgrounds for the channel $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$ are more complicated. Besides the continuum production of $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZZ^*$, there are also combinatorial ambiguities in the signal process from the chain $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow (jj)(ZZ^*) \rightarrow (jj)(\mu^+\mu^-jj)$, which will not contribute to the Higgs peak in the missing mass spectrum but rather broaden the continuum (see Fig. 5c and 6c). Without loss of a large fraction of the signal events, we can assume that all the jets are very well separated, so that the QCD cross section $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}ggZ)$ is of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_W^3\alpha_s^2)$, i.e., suppressed by a

factor of $\alpha_W \alpha_s^2$ compared to the signal. The main background reactions are summarized in Table 1.

channel	$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ $M_H < 160$ GeV	$H \rightarrow WW^*$ $M_H > 110$ GeV	$H \rightarrow ZZ$ $M_H > 180$ GeV
signal	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\mu^+\mu^-)(b\bar{b})$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\mu^+\mu^-)(WW^*)$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\mu^+\mu^-)(ZZ)$
bkgd	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZ, Z\gamma^*, \gamma^*\gamma^*$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow b\bar{b}\mu^+\mu^-\nu\bar{\nu}$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ZWW^*$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZZ$ [$e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow (jj)(\mu^+\mu^-jj)$]

Table 1. Signal and background processes to Higgs production via bremsstrahlung, $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ$, for various mass ranges.

The distributions with respect to the missing mass, $M_{\text{recoil}}^2 = [(p_{e^-} + p_{e^+}) - (p_{\mu^-} + p_{\mu^+})]^2$, are shown in Figs. 5a–c for the three channels defined in Table 1 assuming $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV. In each channel we consider three representative values of M_H : $M_H = 100, 125, 150$ GeV in the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ case, $M_H = 120, 150, 180$ GeV in the $H \rightarrow WW^*$ case, and $M_H = 150, 200, 250$ GeV in the $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$ case. In Figs. 6a–c, we show the corresponding results for $\sqrt{s} = 300$ GeV. Signals and backgrounds have been added, the cuts specified above have been applied, and initial-state bremsstrahlung and beamstrahlung corrections have been taken into account assuming the DLC narrow-band design. For this design, the smearing due to beamstrahlung is small at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV and almost negligible at $\sqrt{s} = 300$ GeV. Without the energy loss connected with these initial-state radiative effects the signals would appear as a sharp peak at $M_{\text{recoil}} = M_H$. Throughout our analysis we have identified jets with the partons in which they originate.

In Figs. 5a and 6a, the $ZH \rightarrow (\mu^+\mu^-)(b\bar{b})$ signal is shown with its background added. The M_{recoil} distribution starts slightly below M_H , rises steeply to the peak at M_H , and tails off towards larger values of M_{recoil} . For $M_H \leq 100$ GeV, the suppression of the ZZ background by μ -vertexing is essential. For larger M_H , the Higgs and Z peaks are clearly separated. Figures 5b and 6b show the M_{recoil} spectrum for the $ZH \rightarrow (\mu^+\mu^-)WW^*$ signal with the continuum background added. For $M_H = 120$ GeV, the Higgs peak is low due to the small branching fraction of $H \rightarrow WW^*$. Once $M_H \geq 140$ GeV, $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow WW^*)$ increases, however, quite fast with M_H [see Fig. 1b] and the Higgs peaks are very prominent in the curves for $M_H = 150$ and 180 GeV. All these curves will eventually merge together at the high end of the M_{recoil} spectrum because only the continuum background of $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZWW^*$ contributes there. Figures 5c and 6c exhibit essentially the same features as Figs. 5b and 6b, except that the curves in these figures will not merge at high M_{recoil} . This is due to the presence of the combinatorial “background” from $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow (jj)(\mu^+\mu^-jj)$, which will not contribute to the Higgs peak but to the continuum; in particular, the increase of the background at large M_{recoil} for the 200 GeV case is due to combinatorics. In conclusion, M_H can be easily determined from the M_{recoil} spectrum.

3. The $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{PC}} = 0^{++}$ Quantum Numbers of the Higgs Boson

The scalar character of the Higgs particle can be tested at e^+e^- colliders in several ways. The angular distribution of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ final state depends on the spin and parity of the Higgs particle. The same is true of the angular correlations in the Higgs decay to fermion and gauge boson pairs. The observation of the decay or fusion processes $H \rightleftharpoons \gamma\gamma$ would rule out spin =1 directly by Yang's theorem and fixes the charge conjugation to be positive $\mathcal{C} = +$. This follows also from the decay and fusion processes $H \rightleftharpoons ZZ$, as well as from the observation of the bremsstrahl process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow ZH$.

3.1. Production Process

Since the production of the final state $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow ZH$ is mediated by a virtual Z boson [transversally polarized along the $e^\pm$ beam axis], the production amplitude could be a monomial in $\cos/\sin\theta$. In the $\mathcal{SM}$, however, the ZZH coupling,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(ZZH) &= \left(\sqrt{2}G_F\right)^{1/2} M_Z^2 H Z^\mu Z_\mu \\ &\sim G_F^{1/2} H F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu},\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

is an S-wave coupling $\sim \vec{\epsilon}_1 \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_2$ in the laboratory frame, linear in $\sin\theta$ and even under parity and charge conjugation, corresponding to the 0^{++} assignment of the Higgs quantum numbers. The explicit form of the angular distribution is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma(ZH)}{d\cos\theta} \sim \beta^2 \sin^2\theta + 8M_Z^2/s.\tag{4}$$

For high energies, the Z boson is produced in a state of longitudinal polarization,

$$\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_L + \sigma_T} = 1 - \frac{8M_Z^2}{12M_Z^2 + \beta^2 s},\tag{5}$$

so that—in accordance with the equivalence theorem [13]—the production amplitude becomes equal to the amplitude $\mathcal{M}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Phi^0 H)$, with Φ^0 being the neutral Goldstone boson which is absorbed to build up the longitudinal degrees of freedom of the electroweak bosons. The angular distribution therefore approaches the spin-zero distribution asymptotically,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma(ZH)}{d\cos\theta} \rightarrow \frac{3}{4} \sin^2\theta.\tag{6}$$

Even though the nature of the Higgs phenomenon requires the Higgs field in the $\mathcal{SM}$ to be necessarily a scalar $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{PC}} = 0^{++}$ field, it is nevertheless interesting to confront the predictions in (4,5) with the production

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow ZA\tag{7}$$

of a pseudoscalar state $A(0^{-+})$ in order to underline the uniqueness of the $\mathcal{SM}$ prediction. The pseudoscalar case is realized in two-doublet Higgs models, in which the ZZA couplings are induced by higher-order loop effects [21]. The effective point-like coupling,

$$\mathcal{L}(ZZA) = \frac{\eta}{4} \left(\sqrt{2}G_F\right)^{1/2} M_Z^2 A Z^{\mu\nu} \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu},\tag{8}$$

with η being a dimensionless factor and $\tilde{Z}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} Z_{\rho\sigma}$, is a P-wave coupling, odd under parity and even under charge conjugation. It reduces to $(\vec{\epsilon}_1 \times \vec{\epsilon}_2) \cdot (\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2)$ in the laboratory frame. Since the Z spins are coupled to a vector, the angular distribution is again a binomial in $\sin \theta$,

$$\frac{d\sigma(ZA)}{d\cos\theta} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta, \quad (9)$$

independent of the energy. The Z boson in the final state is purely transversally polarized so that the cross section need not be $\sim \sin^2 \theta$ in this case. The total cross section is given by

$$\sigma(ZA) = \eta^2 \frac{G_F^2 M_Z^6}{48\pi M_H^4} (a_e^2 + v_e^2) \frac{\beta^3}{(1 - M_Z^2/s)^2}, \quad (10)$$

where the momentum dependence $\sim \beta^3$ is characteristically different from the ZH production cross section near threshold.

The angular correlations specific to the S-wave 0^{++} Higgs production in $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ can directly be confronted experimentally with the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZ$. This process has an angular momentum structure that is distinctly different from the Higgs process. Mediated by electron exchange in the t -channel, the amplitude is built-up by many partial waves, peaking in the forward/backward direction. The two distributions are compared with each other in Fig. 7. This figure demonstrates the specific character of the Higgs production process, which is not lost if experimental acceptance cuts and smearing effects are taken into account [10].

Since the longitudinal wave function of a vector boson grows with the energy of the particle—in contrast to the energy independent transverse wave function—the Z boson in the S-wave Higgs production process (1) must asymptotically be polarized longitudinally, Fig. 8. By contrast, the Z bosons from ZA associated production or ZZ pair production are transversally polarized [at high energies in the second case]. This pattern can be checked experimentally. While the distribution of the light fermions in the $Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ rest frame with respect to the flight direction of the Z [see Fig. 9a] is given by $\sin^2 \theta_*$ for longitudinally polarized Z bosons, it behaves as $(1 \pm \cos \theta_*)^2$ for transversally polarized states, after averaging over the azimuthal angles. Including the azimuthal angles, the final angular correlations may be written for $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ [$Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$] as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma(ZH)}{dc_\theta dc_{\theta_*} d\phi_*} &\sim s_\theta^2 s_{\theta_*}^2 - \frac{1}{2\gamma} s_{2\theta} s_{2\theta_*} c_{\phi_*} + \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} [(1 + c_\theta^2)(1 + c_{\theta_*}^2) + s_\theta^2 s_{\theta_*}^2 c_{2\phi_*}] \\ &\quad - \frac{2v_e a_e}{v_e^2 + a_e^2} \frac{2v_f a_f}{v_f^2 + a_f^2} \frac{2}{\gamma} \left[s_\theta s_{\theta_*} c_{\phi_*} - \frac{1}{\gamma} c_\theta c_{\theta_*} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $s_\theta = \sin \theta$, *etc.* As before, θ is the polar Z angle in the laboratory frame, θ_* the polar fermion angle in the Z rest frame and ϕ_* the corresponding azimuthal angle with respect to the $e^\pm ZH$ production plane. After integrating out the polar angles θ and θ_* , we find the familiar $\cos \phi_*$ and $\cos 2\phi_*$ dependence associated with $\mathcal{P}$ -odd and even amplitudes, respectively,

$$\frac{d\sigma(ZH)}{d\phi_*} \sim 1 + a_1 \cos \phi_* + a_2 \cos 2\phi_*, \quad (12)$$

with

$$a_1 = -\frac{9\pi^2}{32} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^2 + 2} \frac{2v_e a_e}{v_e^2 + a_e^2} \frac{2v_f a_f}{v_f^2 + a_f^2}, \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\gamma^2 + 2}. \quad (13)$$

The azimuthal angular dependence disappears for high energies $\sim 1/\gamma$ as a result of the dominating longitudinal polarization of the Z boson.

Note again the characteristic difference to the pseudoscalar 0^{-+} case $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZA$ [$Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma(ZA)}{dc_\theta dc_{\theta_*} d\phi_*} \sim & 1 + c_\theta^2 c_{\theta_*}^2 - \frac{1}{2} s_\theta^2 s_{\theta_*}^2 - \frac{1}{2} s_\theta^2 s_{\theta_*}^2 c_{2\phi_*} \\ & + 2 \frac{2v_e a_e}{v_e^2 + a_e^2} \frac{2v_f a_f}{v_f^2 + a_f^2} c_\theta c_{\theta_*}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

This time the azimuthal dependence is $\mathcal{P}$ -even and independent of the energy in contrast to the 0^{++} case; after integrating out the polar θ, θ_* angles,

$$\frac{d\sigma(ZA)}{d\phi_*} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{4} \cos 2\phi_*. \quad (15)$$

We can thus conclude that the angular analysis of the Higgs production in $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow ZH$ [$Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$] allows stringent tests of the $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{PC}} = 0^{++}$ quantum numbers of the Higgs boson. This is a direct consequence of the 0^{++} coupling $\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2$ of the ZZH vertex in the production amplitude.

3.2. Decay Processes

The zero-spin of the Higgs particle can be checked directly through the lack of any angular correlation between the initial and final state particles [10]. In the following discussion we shall concentrate on Higgs boson decays to vector bosons $V = W, Z$, which will provide us with signatures similar to those studied in the previous section, including the discrimination between 0^{++} and 0^{-+} decays. The analysis applies in a straightforward way to Higgs particles in the e^+e^- environment. Background problems require a more sophisticated discussion for Higgs particles produced at the SSC and LHC. Since some of the material on this method had been worked out before [22], we focus on novel points which have not been elaborated in detail so far.

Above the $H \rightarrow WW$ and ZZ decay thresholds, the partial width into massive gauge boson pairs may be written as [23]

$$\Gamma(H \rightarrow VV) = \delta_V \frac{\sqrt{2}G_F}{32\pi} M_H^3 (1 - 4x + 12x^2) \beta, \quad (16)$$

where $x = M_V^2/M_H^2$, $\beta = \sqrt{1 - 4x}$ and $\delta_V = 2(1)$ for $V = W(Z)$. For large Higgs masses, the vector bosons are longitudinally polarized [see Fig. 10],

$$\frac{\Gamma_L}{\Gamma_L + \Gamma_T} = \frac{1 - 4x + 4x^2}{1 - 4x + 12x^2}, \quad (17)$$

while the L, T polarization states are democratically populated near the threshold, at $x = 1/4$. The HZZ coupling $\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2$ is the same S-wave coupling which we have discussed earlier. Since the longitudinal wave functions are linear in the energy, the width grows as the third power of the Higgs mass. The electroweak radiative corrections [24] are positive and amount to a few percent above the threshold.

Below the threshold for two real bosons, the Higgs particle can decay into real and virtual VV^* pairs, primarily WW^* pairs above $M_H \sim 110$ GeV. The partial decay width, W charges summed over, is given [25] by

$$\Gamma(H \rightarrow VV^*) = \frac{3G_F^2 M_V^4}{16\pi^3} M_H R(x) \delta'_V, \quad (18)$$

with $\delta'_W = 1$ and $\delta'_Z = 7/12 - 10 \sin^2 \theta_W / 9 + 40 \sin^4 \theta_W / 27$ and

$$R(x) = \frac{3(1 - 8x + 20x^2)}{(4x - 1)^{1/2}} \arccos\left(\frac{3x - 1}{2x^{3/2}}\right) - \frac{1 - x}{2x}(2 - 13x + 47x^2) - \frac{3}{2}(1 - 6x + 4x^2) \log x. \quad (19)$$

The invariant mass (M_*) spectrum of the off-shell vector boson peaks close to the kinematical maximum corresponding to zero-momentum of the on- and off-shell vector bosons in the final state [see Fig. 11],

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dM_*^2} = \frac{3G_F^2 M_V^4}{16\pi^3 M_H} \delta'_V \frac{\beta(M_H^4 \beta^2 + 12M_V^2 M_*^2)}{(M_*^2 - M_V^2)^2 + M_V^2 \Gamma_V^2}, \quad (20)$$

where $\beta^2 = [1 - (M_V + M_*)^2/M_H^2][1 - (M_V - M_*)^2/M_H^2]$. Since both V and V^* preferentially have small momenta, the transverse and longitudinal polarization states are populated with almost equal probabilities,

$$\frac{d\Gamma_L/dM_*^2}{d\Gamma/dM_*^2} = 1 - \frac{8M_V^2 M_*^2}{M_H^4 \beta^2 + 12M_V^2 M_*^2}. \quad (21)$$

Neglecting the widths of the vector bosons, Γ_V , we find after summing over all M_* values [see Fig. 10]

$$\frac{\Gamma_L}{\Gamma_L + \Gamma_T} = \frac{R_L(M_V^2/M_H^2)}{R(M_V^2/M_H^2)}, \quad (22)$$

where R is given in eq. (19) and

$$R_L(x) = \frac{3 - 16x + 20x^2}{(4x - 1)^{1/2}} \arccos\left(\frac{3x - 1}{2x^{3/2}}\right) - \frac{1 - x}{2x}(2 - 13x + 15x^2) - \frac{1}{2}(3 - 10x + 4x^2) \log x. \quad (23)$$

The angular distributions of the fermions in the 0^{++} decay process

$$H \rightarrow V V^* \rightarrow (f_1 \bar{f}_2) (f_3 \bar{f}_4) \quad [V = W, Z] \quad (24)$$

are quite similar to the rules found for the production process. Denoting polar and azimuthal angles of the fermions f_1, f_3 in the rest frames of the vector bosons by $(\theta_1, 0)$ and (θ_3, ϕ_3) , respectively, [see Fig. 9b] the angular distributions for the scalar case are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma(H \rightarrow VV^*)}{dc_{\theta_1} dc_{\theta_3} d\phi_3} \sim & s_{\theta_1}^2 s_{\theta_3}^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma_1 \gamma_3 (1 + \beta_1 \beta_3)} s_{2\theta_1} s_{2\theta_3} c_{\phi_3} \\ & + \frac{1}{2\gamma_1^2 \gamma_3^2 (1 + \beta_1 \beta_3)^2} \left[(1 + c_{\theta_1}^2) (1 + c_{\theta_3}^2) + s_{\theta_1}^2 s_{\theta_3}^2 c_{2\phi_3} \right] \\ & - \frac{2v_1 a_1}{v_1^2 + a_1^2} \frac{2v_3 a_3}{v_3^2 + a_3^2} \frac{2}{\gamma_1 \gamma_3 (1 + \beta_1 \beta_3)} \left[s_{\theta_1} s_{\theta_3} c_{\phi_3} + \frac{1}{\gamma_1 \gamma_3 (1 + \beta_1 \beta_3)} c_{\theta_1} c_{\theta_3} \right]. \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

For $V = W$, the charges are $v_i = a_i = 1$; for $V = Z$, $v_i = 2I_{3i} - 4e_i \sin^2 \theta_W$ and $a_i = 2I_{3i}$. β_i, γ_i are the velocities and γ factors of the [on/off-shell] vector bosons. As expected, the dependence on the azimuthal angle between the decay planes disappears for large Higgs masses, a consequence of the asymptotic longitudinal V polarization. After integrating out the polar angles, we are left with

$$\frac{d\Gamma(H \rightarrow VV^*)}{d\phi_3} \sim 1 + a_1 \cos \phi_3 + a_2 \cos 2\phi_3, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -\frac{9\pi^2}{32} \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_3 (1 + \beta_1 \beta_3)}{\gamma_1^2 \gamma_3^2 (1 + \beta_1 \beta_3)^2 + 2} \frac{2v_1 a_1}{v_1^2 + a_1^2} \frac{2v_3 a_3}{v_3^2 + a_3^2}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\gamma_1^2 \gamma_3^2 (1 + \beta_1 \beta_3)^2 + 2}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The coefficient a_1 measures the $\mathcal{P}$ -odd amplitude.

Both the azimuthal angular correlations as well as the distributions of the polar angles are sensitive to the spin-parity assignments of the Higgs particle, which affect strongly the populations of the W, Z polarization states. We will study this point by confronting again the scalar $H(0^{++})$ decay with the pseudoscalar $A(0^{-+})$ decay distributions,

$$A \rightarrow V V^* \rightarrow (f_1 \bar{f}_2) (f_3 \bar{f}_4) \quad [V = W, Z]. \quad (28)$$

For the $AV_{\mu\nu} \tilde{V}^{\mu\nu}$ coupling defined before, the angular distributions is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma(A \rightarrow VV^*)}{dc_{\theta_1} dc_{\theta_3} d\phi_3} &\sim 1 + c_{\theta_1}^2 c_{\theta_3}^2 - \frac{1}{2} s_{\theta_1}^2 s_{\theta_3}^2 - \frac{1}{2} s_{\theta_1}^2 s_{\theta_3}^2 c_{2\phi_3} \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{2v_1 a_1}{v_1^2 + a_1^2} \frac{2v_3 a_3}{v_3^2 + a_3^2} c_{\theta_1} c_{\theta_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The normalization follows from the total and differential widths,

$$\Gamma(A \rightarrow VV^*) = \frac{3G_F^2 M_V^6}{8\pi^3 M_A} \delta'_V \eta^2 A \left(\frac{M_V^2}{M_A^2} \right) \quad (30)$$

with

$$A(x) = (1 - 7x)(4x - 1)^{1/2} \arccos \left(\frac{3x - 1}{2x^{3/2}} \right) - \frac{1 - x}{6} (17 - 64x - x^2) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - 9x + 6x^2) \log x,$$

and

$$\frac{d\Gamma(A \rightarrow VV^*)}{dM_*^2} = \frac{3G_F^2 M_V^6}{8\pi^3 M_A} \delta'_V \eta^2 \frac{M_*^2 \beta^3}{(M_*^2 - M_V^2)^2 + M_V^2 \Gamma_V^2}, \quad (31)$$

where M_* denotes the mass of the off-shell vector boson. The M_* spectra for $H \rightarrow Z^* Z$ and $A \rightarrow Z^* Z$ are shown in Fig. 11 assuming $M_H = M_A = 150$ GeV. The mass and momentum dependence of the width are determined by the P-wave decay characteristics and the transverse polarization of the vector bosons. In the same way, the angular distributions [which are independent of the masses] reflect the transverse W, Z polarizations.

For Higgs masses below 140 GeV, the dominant decay mode are $b\bar{b}$ decays. A fraction of a few percent decay into $\tau^+\tau^-$ final states. For moderate to large $\tan\beta$ values, these are also the main decay modes for scalar and pseudoscalar Higgs particles in the minimal supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model ($\mathcal{MSSM}$). While the zero-spin can again be checked experimentally by the lack of any correlations between the final and initial state particles, it is quite difficult to verify the $\pm$ parity of the states in the decay distributions. This information must be extracted from correlations between the b and $\bar{b}$, τ^- and τ^+ spin components in the planes transverse to the $b\bar{b}$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ axes,

$$\text{scalar/pseudoscalar} : C = 1 - s_{\parallel}^f s_{\parallel}^{\bar{f}} \pm s_{\perp}^f s_{\perp}^{\bar{f}}$$

The spin correlations are reflected in correlations between the f and $\bar{f}$ decay products [26]. Depolarization effects through $b, \bar{b}$ fragmentation into pseudoscalar B mesons [27] introduce systematic uncertainties into this channel which are very hard to control, so that the rare but clean $\tau\tau$ final states are the proper instrument to study this problem.

At e^+e^- colliders, the parity of the Higgs bosons in the $\mathcal{MSSM}$ can be studied in the following way.

- (i) Since the scalar $\mathcal{MSSM}$ Higgs particles h, H couple to vector bosons directly, the positive parity can be checked by analyzing the Z final states in $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow ZH$ [$Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$] as discussed above in great detail. This method is equivalent to the analysis of the $h, H \rightarrow VV$ decays.
- (ii) The fusion of Higgs particles by linearly polarized photon beams depends on the angle between the polarization vectors [28]. For scalar particles the production amplitude $\sim \vec{\epsilon}_1 \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_2$ is non-zero only for parallel vectors while pseudoscalar particles with amplitudes $\sim \vec{\epsilon}_1 \times \vec{\epsilon}_2$ require perpendicular polarization vectors. For typical experimental set-ups for Compton back-scattering of laser light [29], the maximum degree of linear polarization of the generated hard photon beams is less than about 30% so that the efficiency for two polarized beams is reduced to less than 10% [30]. This method therefore requires high luminosities, and, moreover, a careful analysis of background rejection due to the enormous number of $b\bar{b}$ pairs produced in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions [31].

4. Summary

In this report we have described the production of Higgs particles in the intermediate mass range and the analysis of their $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{PC}}$ assignment. Even though we have focused on e^+e^- linear colliders, many elements of our study on Higgs decays are also relevant to Higgs particles eventually produced at the proton colliders SSC and LHC.

It has been shown that the search for $\mathcal{SM}$ Higgs particles in the intermediate mass range is easy at e^+e^- colliders since the signal sticks out of the background processes very clearly. μ -vertexing of the b quarks will be essential for Higgs bosons in the Z -mass range. The spin and parity assignments can, on one hand, be checked easily in Higgs decays to vector boson pairs. Angular correlations among the lepton/quark decay products reflect their polarization states, primarily longitudinal or democratic for large or moderate Higgs masses, respectively, if $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{PC}} = 0^{++}$, and transverse if $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{PC}} = 0^{-+}$. This information can also be extracted, on the other hand, from the angular behavior of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ production process. The parity analysis of pseudoscalar Higgs particles, in supersymmetric extensions of the Standard Model for instance, requires difficult measurements of the fermionic decay states, or polarization analyses in the case of $\gamma\gamma$ fusion.

Besides the spin-parity assignments, the essential nature of the $\mathcal{SM}$ Higgs particle must be established by demonstrating that couplings to other fundamental particles grow with their masses. Even though we did not analyze these couplings in detail, a few remarks ought to be added to round off the discussion [9]. The Higgs couplings to massive gauge bosons can directly be determined from the measurement of the production cross sections: the HZZ coupling in the bremsstrahl and in the ZZ fusion processes; the HWW coupling in the WW fusion process. For sufficiently large Higgs masses above ~ 250 GeV, these couplings can also be determined experimentally from the decay widths $H \rightarrow ZZ, WW$. Higgs couplings to fermions are not easy to measure directly. For Higgs bosons in the intermediate mass range where the decays into $b\bar{b}, c\bar{c}$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ are important, the decay width is so narrow that it cannot be resolved experimentally. Nevertheless, the branching ratios into τ leptons and charm quarks reveal the couplings of these fermions relative to the coupling of the b quarks into which the Higgs boson decays predominantly. In the upper part of the intermediate mass range but below the threshold for real WW decays, the branching ratio $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow WW^*)$ is sizeable and can be determined experimentally [32]. In this case, the absolute values of the b and eventually of the c, τ couplings can be derived once the HZZ/HWW couplings are fixed by the production cross sections. The decays $H \rightarrow gg$ and $\gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$ and the fusion processes $gg, \gamma\gamma \rightarrow H$ are mediated by loop diagrams and they are proportional to the couplings of the Higgs boson to heavy particles. The number of heavy particles can be counted in these processes if their masses are generated by the Higgs mechanism and their couplings to the Higgs particles grow with the mass. [This is not the case for heavy supersymmetric particles which decouple asymptotically from the HVV vertices.] In the Standard Model only the top quark contributes to the Hgg vertex so that the Htt coupling can be measured in the gluonic decays of the Higgs particle [and, in the same way, through the cross section for the gluon fusion $gg \rightarrow H$ at hadron colliders]. In the case of $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$ additional contributions to the decay amplitudes come from W loops. A direct way to determine the Yukawa coupling of the intermediate mass Higgs boson to the top quark in the range $m_H \leq 120$ GeV are provided by the bremsstrahl process $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ in high energy e^+e^- colliders [14] and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ in photon-photon colliders [15]. For large Higgs masses above the $t\bar{t}$ threshold, the decay channel $H \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ increases the cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z$ through the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH(\rightarrow t\bar{t})$ [33]; without the Higgs decay this final state is produced mainly through virtual γ and Z bosons.

We thus conclude that the essential elements of the profile of Higgs bosons in the intermediate mass range can be scanned very accurately at e^+e^- colliders.

Acknowledgements. We enjoyed interesting conversations with K. Hagiwara and M.L. Stong on their discussion of the VVH coupling, which partly overlaps with our analysis. Helpful comments by J.F. Gunion are also gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported in part by the University of Wisconsin Research Committee with funds granted by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC02-76ER00881, and in part by the Texas National Laboratory Research Commission under grant no. RGFY93-221.

References

- [1] P. W. Higgs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12 (1964) 132 and Phys. Rev. 145 (1966) 1156; F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 321; G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen and T. W. Kibble, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 585.
- [2] N. Cabibbo, L. Maiani, G. Parisi and R. Petronzio, Nucl. Phys. B158 (1979) 295; M. Chanowitz, M. Furman and I. Hinchliffe, Phys. Lett. B78 (1978) 285; R. A. Flores and M. Sher, Phys. Rev. D27 (1983) 1679; M. Lindner, Z. Phys. C31 (1986) 295.
- [3] M. Sher, Phys. Rep. 179 (1989) 273.
- [4] D. Treille, talk at the Workshop on Physics and Experimentation with e^+e^- Linear Coliders, Waikoloa (Hawaii) 1993.
- [5] J. Gunion et al., Proceedings of the Workshop on Physics of the Superconducting Supercollider, Snowmass 1986; R. M. Barnett, Proc. of the Summer Study on High Energy Physics in the 1990s, Snowmass 1988; J. F. Gunion et al., Proceedings of the 1990 Summer Study on High Energy Physics: Research Directions for the Decade, Snowmass 1990, E.L. Berger (ed.) (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992) p. 59; D. Froidevaux, Z. Kunszt and J. Stirling et al., Proceedings of the Large Hadron Collider Workshop, Aachen 1990, Report CERN 90-10; G. Altarelli, *ibid.*; D. Denegri, *ibid.*.
- [6] Proceedings of the Workshop “ e^+e^- Colliders at 500 GeV: The Physics Potential”, DESY 92-123A, P. M. Zerwas (ed.).
- [7] D.R.T. Jones and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. 84B (1979) 440; R.N. Cahn and S. Dawson, Phys. Lett. 136B (1984) 196; K. Hikasa, Phys. Lett. 164B (1985) 341; G. Altarelli, B. Mele and F. Pitolli, Nucl. Phys. B287 (1987) 205.
- [8] J. Ellis, M.K. Gaillard and D.V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B106 (1976) 292; J.D. Bjorken, Proceedings of Summer Institute on Particle Physics, SLAC Report No. 198 (1976) p. 1; B. Ioffe and V. Khoze, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. Phys. B9 (1978) 50; B.W. Lee, C. Quigg and H.B. Thacker, Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 1519.
- [9] A. Djouadi, D. Haidt, B. Kniehl, B. Mele and P. M. Zerwas in Ref. [6].
- [10] Grosse-Wiesmann, D. Haidt and J. Schreiber in Ref. [6].
- [11] V. Barger, K. Cheung, B. A. Kniehl and R. J. N. Phillips, Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 3725.
- [12] Z. Kunszt and W. J. Stirling, Phys. Lett. B242 (1990) 507; N. Brown, Z. Phys. C49 (1991) 657; V. Barger and K. Whisnant, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 1443.
- [13] J. M. Cornwall, D. N. Levin and G. Tiktopoulos, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 1145; M. S. Chanowitz and M. K. Gaillard, Nucl. Phys. B261 (1985) 379; H. Veltman, Phys. Rev. D41 (1990) 2294.
- [14] A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski and P. M. Zerwas, Z. Phys. C54 (1992) 255.
- [15] E. Boos *et al.*, Z. Phys. C56 (1992) 487; K. Cheung, Phys. Rev. D47 (1993) 3750.

- [16] J. Fleischer and F. Jegerlehner, Nucl. Phys. B216 (1983) 469; B. A. Kniehl, Z. Phys. C55 (1992) 605; A. Denner, J. Küblbeck, R. Mertig and M. Böhm, Z. Phys. C56 (1992) 261.
- [17] T. Barklow, P. Chen and W. Kozanecki in Ref. [6].
- [18] V. Barger, T. Han and R. J. Phillips, Phys. Rev. D38 (1988) 2766; V. Barger and T. Han, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 667.
- [19] The relevant parameters are collected in J. Rossbach, Preprint DESY-M-93-1; P. M. Zerwas, Proceedings of the LC92 Workshop, Garmisch-Partenkirchen 1992, G. Coignet and R. Settles (eds.), Preprint DESY 93-001.
- [20] See the Proceedings of the Workshop “High Luminosities at LEP”, CERN Report 91-02, E. Blucher et al. (eds.).
- [21] J. Gunion, H. Haber, G. Kane and S. Dawson, The Higgs Hunter’s Guide, Addison-Wesley, Reading 1990.
- [22] M. J. Duncan, G. Kane and W. Repko, Nucl. Phys. B272 (1986) 517; J. R. Dell’Aquila and C. A. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D33 (1988) 80, 93 and 101; T. Matsuura and J. van der Bij, Z. Phys. C51 (1991) 259; H. Pois, T. J. Weiler and T. C. Yuan, preprint VAND-TH-91-04 and 93-04; D. Chang, W. L. Keung and I. Phillips, CERN-TH-6814-93.
- [23] L. Resnick, M.K. Sundaresan and P.J.S. Watson, Phys. Rev. D8 (1973) 172.
- [24] B. A. Kniehl, Nucl. Phys. B352 (1991) 1.
- [25] W.-Y. Keung and W.J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D30 (1984) 248.
- [26] J. R. Dell’Aquila and C. A. Nelson, Nucl. Phys. B320 (1989) 61.
- [27] J. H. Kühn and P. M. Zerwas [conv.] et al., “Heavy Flavors at LEP”, Proceedings of the Workshop “Z physics at LEP”, CERN Report 89-08, G. Altarelli, R. Kleiss and C. Verzegnassi (eds.); G. Altarelli and B. Mele, Phys. Lett. B299 (1993) 345.
- [28] N. P. Samios, R. Plano, A. Prodell, M. Schwartz and J. Steinberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3 (1959) 524.
- [29] I. F. Ginzburg, G. L. Kotkin, S. L. Panfil, V. G. Serbo and V. I. Telnov, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 219 (1984) 5.
- [30] B. Grzadkowski and J. F. Gunion, Phys. Lett. B294 (1992) 361; J. F. Gunion, talk at the Workshop on Physics and Experimentation with e^+e^- Linear Coliders, Waikoloa (Hawaii) 1993.
- [31] O. J. P. Eboli, M. C. Gonzales-Garcia, F. Halzen and D. Zeppenfeld, UW-Madison Report MAD/PH/743.
- [32] M. Hildreth, Preprint SLAC-PUB 6036.
- [33] K. Hagiwara, H. Murayama and I. Watanabe, Nucl. Phys. B367 (1991) 275.

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. Update of (a) the total decay width and (b) the decay branching fractions of the Higgs boson in the $\mathcal{SM}$. The top quark mass is assumed to be $m_t = 150$ GeV.
- Fig. 2. Feynman diagram for the bremsstrahl production process $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ$.
- Fig. 3. Total cross sections for the bremsstrahl process: (a) as a function of the Higgs mass and for three energy values $\sqrt{s} = 300, 400$ and 500 GeV and (b) as a function of the center-of-mass energy for three values of the Higgs mass $M_H = 120, 150$ and 180 GeV. While the dashed curves represent the cross sections in the Born approximation, the solid curves include the one-loop electroweak corrections assuming $m_t = 150$ GeV.
- Fig. 4. Beamstrahl corrections to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ total cross sections as a function of the Higgs mass and for three energy values $\sqrt{s} = 300, 400$ and 500 GeV.
- Fig. 5. The missing mass distributions for the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-H$ signal as a function of the recoil mass in the three Higgs decay channels (a) $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ for $M_H = 100, 125$ and 150 GeV, (b) $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)}$ for $M_H = 120, 150$ and 180 GeV and (c) $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)}$ for $M_H = 150, 200$ and 250 GeV. The c.m. energy is fixed to $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV and an angular cut $|\cos\theta_{\mu\mu}| < 0.6$ of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair momentum with respect to the $e^\pm$ axis has been used; the effects of bremsstrahlung, beamstrahlung and the beam-energy spread are taken into account.
- Fig. 6. Same as in Fig. 5 but for $\sqrt{s} = 300$ GeV. Note that there is no $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ background.
- Fig. 7. Angular distributions for the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZA$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZ$ for a Higgs mass of 120 GeV and a c.m. energy of $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV.
- Fig. 8. Cross sections for the production of longitudinal vector bosons normalized to the total cross sections for the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ as a function of the c.m. energy for $M_H = 150$ GeV.
- Fig. 9. Definition of the polar and azimuthal angles (a) in the production process $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ$ [$Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$], and (b) in the decay processes $H \rightarrow VV^{(*)} \rightarrow (f_1\bar{f}_2)(f_3\bar{f}_4)$.
- Fig. 10. The width of Higgs decays to longitudinally polarized vector bosons normalized to the total width for the decays $H \rightarrow VV^{(*)}$ as a function of the mass ratio $M_H/(2M_V)$.
- Fig. 11. The distributions with respect to the invariant mass of the off-shell vector bosons in the decay processes $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$ and $A \rightarrow ZZ^*$ for $M_H = M_A = 150$ GeV.